The Role of Longitudinal Surveys in Chilean and Global Society

Steven G. Heeringa Institute for Social Research University of Michigan

A Question of Change: A1

- Where and when: Michigan, 1984-1986
- Problem
 - Recession and early recovery
 - High profile closure of major steel mills
 - Quarterly aggregate ES-202 employment data show little change in net employment in steel manufacturing at the reporting level of Michigan counties.

Question of Change: A2

- Design and Method
 - Micro-level ES-202 data for firms
 - Constructed longitudinal file at the firm level (with births, deaths, splits, mergers) for 28 quarters
 - Analyzed changes in monthly employment at the firm level

Question of Change: A3

- Result
 - Large steel mills had in fact closed
 - Stability in steel manufacturing employment was in fact correct
 - Small, modern "micro-mills" and specialty steel producers had emerged in postrecession offsetting job losses at large facilities.

Question of Change: B1

- Welfare dependency in the US
- Problem:
 - Do social support programs for single mothers create a permanent "welfare class"?
 - What are the factors that determine the length of time individuals depend on various sources of social support.

Question of Change: B2

• Design and Method

– Panel Study of Income Dynamics

 Annual data on individuals and families across generations for 1968-2009

Analysis of trend in individual social support receipt over time

Question of Change: B3

- Results
 - Most spells of individual social support are short and carry individuals through periods of short term income interruption
 - Identification of factors (e.g. child care, transport, mental health, physical disability) that prolong spells of individual dependency

Question of Change: C

- Pensions and Social Protection in Chile
- Encuesta Protección Social (EPS) 2002-2009
 Work, Pensions, Retirement Planning
- Contribution to data-driven reforms and adjustments to social protection system.

Changes of Interest

- Temporal dynamics
- Life course dynamics
- Structured change

Global Focus on Longitudinal Studies

- Income Dynamics
- Retirement Planning, Pensions
- Education and Adolescent Development
- Household Finance: Assets, Liabilities
- Firms and Business Change
- Early Childhood Development
- Health, Environmental Exposures

Longitudinal Studies in Chile (A sampling, not a census)

• Encuesta Protección Social (EPS) 2002-2009

- Work, Pensions, Retirement Planning

• Encuesta Financeria de Hogares (EFH)

- Household Finance: Assets, Liabilities

• Encuesta Longitudinal a Empresas and Enceusta de Microempresas Informales

- Formal Sector, self-employed and the informal sector

Longitudinal Studies in Chile (A sampling, not a census)

• Encueste Longitudinal Docente

- Teachers 2005, 2009

• Early Childhood Development (ELPI)

- Early childhood

• Encuesta Longitudinal de Estudiantes (ELE)

- Planning stage
- Longitudinal study of secondary school students

Three Longitudinal Designs

- Repeated Cross-section Sample
- Pure Panel
- Rotating Panel Sample Design

Repeated Cross-Section Design



Repeated Cross-Section: Advantages

- Lowest respondent burden
- Pool sample across waves "cumulate".
- Standard probability sample each wave
- No tracing of respondents needed

Repeated Cross-Section: Disadvantages

- No "micro-level" longitudinal data for analysis
- Only net change can be estimated
- No precision gain for estimating change.
 (P=Panel Overlap= 0)

What is a Panel Survey?

- Start: Representative (probability) sample of the population is selected using standard procedures
- Sample members are interviewed at the baseline
- Sample members become part of the "panel"
 - They are followed over time
 - Periodically reinterviewed

Why Conduct a Panel Survey?

 Study "micro-level" changes in the population over time

– gross change (+/-)

- Improved measures of net change
- Standard survey A single picture in time
- Panel A "movie" of societal change.

Pure Panel Design

Population Sample





Statistical Objective

Simplest = Measure Simple Change Time

$$\hat{\Delta}_{(t1,t2)} = (\overline{\mathbf{y}}_{(t2)} - \overline{\mathbf{y}}_{(t1)})$$

-

$$var(\hat{\Delta}_{(t1,t2)}) = var(\overline{y}_{(t1)}) + var(\overline{y}_{(t2)}) - 2cov(\overline{y}_{(t1)}, \overline{y}_{(t2)})$$

$$\widehat{1} \quad \widehat{1} \quad \widehat{1} \quad \widehat{1}$$
Panel Surveys Address
This Term

Increased Precision for Panels

Panel designs reduce the variance of $\hat{\Delta}_{t1,t2}$ by a factor of: variance reduction = $(1 - \rho_{y1,y2} \cdot P)$ where :

ρ_{y1,y2} = the correlation in y for time 1 and time 2,
e.g. the correlation over time in a worker's income ;
P= the proportion of the sample that is common at time 1 and 2.

Statistical Analysis of Panel Data

- One wave
 - Cross-sectional, time T
- Two waves
 - Gross change over time, T1 vs. T2
 - Net change over time
- Three or More Waves
 - Event history models (survival, time to event)
 - Growth curve models for multiple waves
 - Structural equation models (latent, factors)

Pure Panel Design: Advantages

- "Micro-level" longitudinal data for analysis
- Gross and net change can be analyzed. Cross-sectional analysis is also possible.
- Large precision gain for estimating change (P=Panel Overlap= 1.0).
- Data collection costs are reduced
- Powerful statistical techniques apply

Pure Panel Design: Disadvantages

- Tracking respondents over time
 - Movement, changes in units,
- Measurement error in recalling, dating events
- Nonresponse, panel attrition
- Added data management complexity
- Advanced uses increase statistical complexity
- Increased attention to disclosure risks, reidentification of the survey respondent

Addendum: PSID and HRS

Panel Study of Income Dynamics

- Started in 1968
- Units surveyed
 - Families and individuals
 - Approximately 7000 families with 20,000 persons
 - Supplemental sample of low income households
- As of 2009, 37 "Waves" of Data Collection
 - In 2009 we interviewed three to four generations of the original sample families

Panel Study of Income Dynamics

- Types of data collected for families
 - Household composition
 - Household expenses (medical, food, etc.)
 - Participation in programs (food stamps, SSI, etc.)
- Types of data collected for persons
 - Labor force participation, unemployment
 - Occupation and industry, self-employment
 - Wages and salaries, non-wage income
 - Unemployment, benefits available and received

Panel Study of Income Dynamics

- Data uses (examples)
 - Federal program evaluation, budget planning
 - Food stamp program (Dept. of Agriculture)
 - Women, Infants and Children (WIC)
 - Social programs for low income families with children (TANF)
 - Social Security (OASDI, SSI, Medicare)
 - Policy planning
 - Labor policy (unemployment, minimum wage)
 - Education policy (sector demand, job training needs)
 - Retirement (pensions, savings, other income sources)
 - Tax Policy (impact of tax law changes)

Health and Retirement Survey

- Started in 1992
- Units surveyed
 - Financial units: Married couples and single adults age 50 or older
 - Approximately 16,000 financial units with 22,000 persons
- As of 2010, 10 "Waves" of Data Collection

Health and Retirement Survey

- Types of data collected for financial units
 - Income and assets
 - Family structure, social support from family
 - Participation in programs (Social Security, etc.)
- Types of data collected for persons
 - Labor force participation, unemployment
 - Job benefits
 - Health status and health care costs
 - Retirement benefits, plans, expectations

Health and Retirement Survey

- Data uses (examples)
 - Program evaluation, budget planning
 - Social Security
 - Medicare (Basic and Supplemental)
 - Private pensions
 - Tax policy
 - Policy planning
 - Financial tools for retirement saving
 - Health care financing reform
 - Role of family in caring for older age persons
 - Health care services, disease prevention (e.g. diabetes, asthma)